

APPENDIX 2 Tables and Figures

In this Appendix we publish complementary tables and figures referred to in the main text.

Table A2.1. Examples of when and where the Ecopark Movement has successfully protected the park. Letters refer to places in Fig. 2. The examples are based upon on our interviews (Ernstson and Sörlin 2009), but also on newspaper articles, official reports and written accounts (e.g. Holm and Schantz 2002, Waldenström 2004, Melin 2005, Sundström 2005, DN 2006).

Ex.	Description
A.	<i>Housing at Bergius Road, 1990.</i> Stockholm Ornithological Association (<i>Stockholm ornitologiska förening</i> ; N49) mapped the movement of birds in the region. Together with principles on dispersal corridors from the UN conference on biodiversity 1992, the Bergius Road building project was stopped, partly with support of the bird data.
B.	<i>Motorway through Bellevue Park, 1994 (Norra länken).</i> Movement organizations (N3, N25, N31) cooperated with a resident in the area and appealed the building plans of a motorway tunnel under the Bellevue Park. The case was taken to The Supreme Administrative Court (<i>Regeringsrätten</i>) which stopped the exploitation.
C.	<i>Housing at Tre Vapen, 2005.</i> In a public meeting, neighbours raised their concerns concerning this exploitation and an attending core activist from Ecopark Fund WWF (N60) met with them afterwards. Together they managed to put pressure on local politicians and exploitation was delayed.
D.	<i>Housing at Husarviken, 2005.</i> Stockholm municipality planned a new town district with 3-5 000 apartments. This led to heavy movement mobilization, partly spurred by the Royal Administration of Djurgården (<i>Kungliga Djurgårdsförvaltningen</i>) which contacted core and semi-core actors (N9, N16, N25, N31) to form a task-group. Through lobbying activities, local public meetings and alternative landscape plans, the task group managed to get the County Administrative Board to cancel the plans and a call for new plans were made. This demonstrates the reproduction of the core-periphery movement structure as core and semi-core actors sustain their advantage of resources and their links to periphery actors.
E.	<i>Motorway through Bellevue Park, 2006 (Norra länken, the sequel).</i> The once stopped motorway returns, now in a deeper tunnel. Although not stopping the project, the umbrella organization The Alliance of the Ecopark (FFE; N16) manages to obtain the rights to negotiate directly with the National Road Administration (<i>Vägverket</i>) on how to construct the tunnel so as to not harm cultural historical and biological values.

Table A2.2. Movement methods as interpreted from qualitative data. These practices translate the resources in Table A2.3 into collective action (numbers 1-7 are from Table A2.3).

Method	Resources used (Table A2.3)						
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Monitor the municipalities' centrally issued land cover plans (exploitation plans)	•		•	•			•
Attend public consultation meetings on exploitation plans	•						
Arrange early meetings with actors planning to build	•		•	•	•		
Write letters and commitment for considerations to authorities	•			•	•	•	
Lobby civil servants and politicians	•	•	•	•	•		
Initiate and run juridical processes together with rightful claimants to stop exploitation	•		•	•	•	•	
Participate in official consultation groups	•	•	•				
Exhibit alternative land cover plans to point out alternative futures for specific areas	•			•	•	•	•
Write op-ed articles	•			•	•	•	
Arrange public meetings, seminars and debates (often in prestigious buildings)	•		•	•			•
Organize supportive parties and galas	•		•	•			•
Publish books regarding the park	•			•		•	
Arrange guiding of natural and cultural values for citizens and tourists	•					•	

Table A2.3. Movement resources as interpreted from qualitative data.

<i>No.</i>	<i>Category</i>	<i>Description</i>
1.	Free labor	<i>Activists</i> (working for free on their spare-time)
2.	Professional labor (money)	<i>Professional “activists”</i> (employed personnel in two core organizations, N60-World Wildlife Fund, and N37-The Swedish Association of Nature Protection in Stockholm County).
3.	External contacts	<i>Political contacts</i> (to authorities and established parties) <i>Active supporters</i> (landscape architects, artists, musicians, celebrities working for free) <i>Media</i> (journalists) <i>Rightful claimants</i> (neighbors to exploitation or tenant’s associations)
4.	Internal contacts	<i>Relations to other SMOs</i> (i.e. the movement network in itself as accounted for in the main text)
5.	Information	<i>Information</i> (concerning exploitation plans from either internal or external contacts or official sources)
6.	Skills	<i>Professional knowledge</i> (on planning process, juridical processes, cultural history, conservation biology) <i>Writing skills</i> (in crafting op-ed articles and appeals)
7.	Physical	<i>Information tools</i> (Internet, phones, computers, copy-machines, accessed often through activists’ work-places) <i>Prestigious meeting places</i> (accessed through external contacts, e.g. The Royal Academy of Fine Arts and The National Museum of Natural History)

Table A2.4. Acronyms and Swedish proper names for core and semi-core organizations referred to in the paper.

<i>No.</i>	<i>Position</i>	<i>Acronym</i>	<i>English translation</i>	<i>Swedish proper name</i>
N16	Core	FFE	The Alliance of the Ecopark	Förbundet för ekoparken
N37	Core	SNF	The Swedish Association of Nature Protection in Stockholm County	Naturskyddsföreningen i Stockholms län
N60	Core	WWF	The Ecopark Fund WWF	Ekoparksfonden WWF
N9	Semi-core	DLV	The Environmental Protection Association of Djurgården-Lilla Värtan	Djurgården-Lilla Värtan miljöskyddsförening
N25	Semi-core	HBV	Friends of Haga-Brunnsviken	Haga-Brunnsvikens vänner
N31	Semi-core	KGP	The Committee for the Gustavian Park	Kommitén för Gustavianska parken

LITERATURE CITED

These references are exclusively cited in Appendix 1 and 2. For all other references, see main text.

Borgatti, S. P., M. G. Everett, and L. C. Freeman. 2002. Ucinet 6 for Windows: Software for Social Network Analysis. *in*. Analytic Technologies, Harvard.

Bryman, A. 2001. *Social Research Methods*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.

Cyram. 2004. NetMiner II. Ver.2.5.0 Seoul: Cyram Co., Ltd. Copyright (c) Cyram Co., Ltd. 2001-2004. All rights reserved

DN. 2006. Parkvänner vill inte se nya bostäder (Park-friends don't want more flats). *in* Dagens Nyheter, 12 October, Stockholm.

Ekman, H. 1995. Bygga eller skydda - hundra års kamp om marken (Build or protect - one hundred years of struggle about the land). *in* G. Bruswitz and H. Ekman, editors. *Ekoparken: Djurgården - Haga - Ulriksdal (The Ecopark: Djurgården - Haga - Ulriksdal)*. Wahlström & Widstrand, Stockholm.

Erickson, B. H., and T. A. Nosanchuk. 1983. Applied Network Sampling. *Social Networks* 5:367-382

FFE. 2005. List of member organisations. *in*. Förbundet för Ekoparken (The Alliance of the Ecopark). Homepage: <http://www.ekoparken.org/>, 2005-01-24, 10:34 (last updated 2005-01-03).

Holm, L., and P. Schantz, editors. 2002. Nationalstadsparken: ett experiment i hållbar utveckling (The National Urban Park: An experiment in sustainable development). Formas, Stockholm.

National Land Survey Office. 2001. Karta över Nationalstadsparken (Map of the National Urban Park). *in*. Lantmäteriverket, Lantmäteriet, SE-801 82 Gävle, Sweden. Homepage: www.lantmateriet.se, 2004-10-05, 10:10.

Melin, K. 2005. Nätverket tänker försöka hejda flera av de byggplaner som finns (The network tries to stop several existing building plans). Pages 10 *in* Mitt i Östermalm, 15 March, Stockholm.

Sundström, A. 2005. Nej till bostäder vid Husarviken (No to flats in Husarviken). *in* Dagens Nyheter, 13 December, Stockholm.

Waldenström, H. 1995. Ekopark blir nationalstadspark: Kampen om Ulriksdal - Haga-Brunnsvik - Djurgården (The Ecopark becomes the National Urban Park). Pages 157-170 *in* B. Hallerdt, editor. *Ekoparken: Nationalstadsparken Ulriksdal - Haga-Brunnsviken - Djurgården (The Ecopark: The National Urban Park)*. Samfundet S:t Erik Stockholm, Uppsala.

Waldenström, H. 2004. Ekoparkens historia (The history of the Ecopark). *in*. Förbundet för ekoparken (The Alliance of the Ecopark). Homepage, www.ffe.org, 2004-10-14, 15:12.